

| | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/830,899 | PAEK ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Ebienne P. LeRoux | 2161 | | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Ebienne P. LeRoux</u> . | (3) _____. | | |
| (2) <u>Robert L. Maier</u> . | (4) _____. | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>28 August 2009</u> | Time: <u>4:00 pm</u> | | |
| Type of Interview: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative) | | |
| Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No | |
| If Yes, provide a brief description: _____. | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | NA | | |
| Claims discussed: | 17 and 33-43 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | NA | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: | | | |
| <i>Examiner requested to amend claim 17 and cancel claims 33-43 by examiner's amendment. Permission was granted.</i> | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| <u>/Ebienne P LeRoux/</u> Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2161 | | | |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | | |